

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. |                      | F             | ILING DATE              | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |  |
|-----------------|----------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|--|
|                 | 10/679,416           |               | 10/07/2003              | Masahiro Katsumura   | Q77786                 | 3480             |  |  |
|                 | 23373                | 7590          | 09/22/2004              |                      | EXAM                   | EXAMINER         |  |  |
|                 | SUGHRUE              |               | PLLC<br>IA AVENUE, N.W. | VANORE, DAVID A      |                        |                  |  |  |
|                 | SUITE 800            | ) 1 D V 1 H V | ETTIVETOE, IV.W.        |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |  |  |
|                 | WASHINGTON, DC 20037 |               |                         |                      | 2881                   |                  |  |  |
|                 |                      |               |                         |                      | DATE MAILED, 00/22/200 |                  |  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  |                      |   |                    | - Alu     |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|----------------------|---|--------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
|   |  | Application          | n No.   | Applicant(s)       |           |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | 10/679,41            | 6   | KATSUMURA ET       | AL.       |  |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary  | Examiner             |   | Art Unit           |           |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | David A Va           |   | 2881               |           |  |  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communic<br>or Reply  | ation appears on the | cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence ad   | dress     |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |                      |   |                    |           |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |                      |   |                    |           |  |  |  |  |
| 1\□   | Responsive to communication(s) filed   | on                   |   |                    |           |  |  |  |  |
| ·   |  | o)                   | on-final  |                    |           |  |  |  |  |
| ,—  | Since this application is in condition for   | <i>'</i> —           |   | secution as to the | merits is |  |  |  |  |
| ٠,٣   | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |                      |   |                    |           |  |  |  |  |
| Disposit  | ion of Claims  |                      |   |                    |           |  |  |  |  |
| 5) [<br>6) [<br>7) [  | Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. |                      |   |                    |           |  |  |  |  |
| Applicat  | ion Papers   |                      |   |                    |           |  |  |  |  |
| 9)[   | The specification is objected to by the  | Examiner.            |   |                    |           |  |  |  |  |
| 10)[  | 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |                      |   |                    |           |  |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |                      |   |                    |           |  |  |  |  |
| 11)   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.                                     |                      |   |                    |           |  |  |  |  |
| Priority (  | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |                      |   |                    |           |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |  |                      |   |                    |           |  |  |  |  |
| Attachmen   | t(s)   |                      |   |                    |           |  |  |  |  |
| 2)  Notice 3) Infor   | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Pier No(s)/Mail Date  |                      | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ate                | D-152)    |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2881

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: Claims 1-6 drawn to a beam adjusting method where a beam is applied to a beam adjusting sample and the amount of beam passing through the sample is detected.

<u>Species II</u>: Claims 7-11 drawn to a beam adjusting sample.

Species III: Claims 12-16 drawn to a beam adjusting device comprising a stage, a beam generator, a secondary electron detector, a position detector, a beam adjusting sample, and a beam detector.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 7 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Art Unit: 2881

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A Vanore whose telephone number is (571) 272-2483. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2477. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,416 Page 4

Art Unit: 2881

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dav

SUPERVISIAY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800